

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,769	12/21/2001	Sandrine Decoster	05725.0993	2464
	7590 09/08/200 HENDERSON, FARAI	EXAMINER		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		YU, GINA C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,769

Art Unit: 1611

Continuation from no. 11:

Applicant asserts the purpose of the December 1, 2008 declaration was to show the unpredictability of the examiner's proposed modification of the ratio of stearyl alcohol to behenyl alcohol disclosed by Oshima. Applicant argues the compositions AA904 and AA905 had a ratio value that was outside the range disclosed by Oshima, and the viscosity of the present invention AA905 exhibited more temperature-dependent stability compared to the AA904.

As applicant has correctly pointed out, a prima facie case of obviousness requires some degree of predictability of reasonable expectation of success. In this case, the pending rejection properly indicated such predictability because Oshima specifically teaches stability and effectiveness of the hair conditioning shampoo by utilizing the two fatty alcohols within the weight amount and ratio presently claimed by applicant. The issue here is whether it would have been obvious to incorporate Oshima's teaching in the present invention, not whether Oshima would have predicted the properties of the applicant's invention not explicitly covered by the prior art.

One of ordinary skill in the art needs not be able to predict the difference of the weight ratios outside of the Oshima reference in order to make the present invention because the reference already teaches that using stearyl and behenyl alcohols within the weight ranges of 0.5-5% and 0.75-7.5 wt in the specific weight ratio of 1:1.15 – 4.5, which is well encompassed by the present invention. The absence of the mention of the ratio outside of the Oshima composition does not make the present invention which employs the teaching of the Oshima unobvious. The routineer would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/018,769

Art Unit: 1611

motivated to make present invention with the Oshima's teaching because Oshima illustrates a stable and effective hair conditioning shampoo which utilizes these ingredients in specific weight amount and ratio.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINA C. YU whose telephone number is (571)272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 8:00AM until 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila Landau can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/018,769		DECOSTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GINA C. YU	1611		

	GINA C. YU	1611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31, or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	, , ,		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Americanent (1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: name. Claim(s) objected to: name.		l be entered and an e.	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 18,20-28 and 30-51. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Gina C. Yu/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1611	